
FREQUENCIES
VARIABLES=SOC PIM_Pref USE_Pref
/ORDER ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

SOC

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	No SOC	3426	42.9	42.9	42.9
	Yes SOC	4558	57.1	57.1	100.0
	Total	7984	100.0	100.0	

PIMP Preference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	NoPref	3257	40.8	41.3	41.3
	Acquired	3035	38.0	38.5	79.8
	Local	1593	20.0	20.2	100.0
	Total	7885	98.8	100.0	
Missing	System	98	1.2		
Total		7984	100.0		

USE Preference

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Acqired Only	2004	25.1	25.1	25.1
	Mostly Acquired	2635	33.0	33.0	58.1
	Mostly Local	1778	22.3	22.3	80.4
	Local Only	1567	19.6	19.6	100.0
	Total	7984	100.0	100.0	

^{*} Stage 0: Examine Created Variables

crosstab
/TABLES= PIM_Pref by pref_ori USE_Pref
/FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES
/STATISTIC=CHISQ
/CELLS= count ROW COLUMN TOTAL ASRESID.

Crosstabs

PIMP Preference * PIMP Preference (Stn A Only, Original)

Crosstab

			PIMP Pr	eference (Stn Original)	A Only,	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
PIMP	NoPref	Count	3222	13	21	3256
Preference		% within PIMP Preference	99.0%	.4%	.6%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference (Stn A Only, Original)	86.3%	.5%	1.6%	42.2%
		% of Total	41.7%	.2%	.3%	42.2%
		Adjusted Residual	76.0	-54.5	-32.2	
	Acquired	Count	279	2652	35	2966
		% within PIMP Preference	9.4%	89.4%	1.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference (Stn A Only, Original)	7.5%	97.9%	2.7%	38.4%
		% of Total	3.6%	34.3%	.5%	38.4%
		Adjusted Residual	-54.0	79.0	-28.8	
	Local	Count	231	44	1227	1502
		% within PIMP Preference	15.4%	2.9%	81.7%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference (Stn A Only, Original)	6.2%	1.6%	95.6%	19.4%
		% of Total	3.0%	.6%	15.9%	19.4%
		Adjusted Residual	-28.5	-29.1	75.5	
Total		Count	3732	2709	1283	7724
		% within PIMP Preference	48.3%	35.1%	16.6%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference (Stn A Only, Original)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	48.3%	35.1%	16.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	11805.188a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	11394.041	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	5540.221	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7724		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 249.49.

PIMP Preference * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	eference		
			Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
PIMP	NoPref	Count	771	1015	711	759	3256
Preference		% within PIMP Preference	23.7%	31.2%	21.8%	23.3%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	38.7%	39.1%	40.6%	49.3%	41.3%
		% of Total	9.8%	12.9%	9.0%	9.6%	41.3%
		Adjusted Residual	-2.7	-2.8	7	7.1	
	Acquired	Count	1050	1208	520	257	3035
		% within PIMP Preference	34.6%	39.8%	17.1%	8.5%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	52.7%	46.5%	29.7%	16.7%	38.5%
		% of Total	13.3%	15.3%	6.6%	3.3%	38.5%
		Adjusted Residual	15.1	10.2	-8.6	-19.6	
	Local	Count	172	376	522	523	1593
		% within PIMP Preference	10.8%	23.6%	32.8%	32.8%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	8.6%	14.5%	29.8%	34.0%	20.2%
		% of Total	2.2%	4.8%	6.6%	6.6%	20.2%
		Adjusted Residual	-14.9	-8.9	11.3	15.0	
Total		Count	1993	2599	1753	1539	7884
		% within PIMP Preference	25.3%	33.0%	22.2%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.3%	33.0%	22.2%	19.5%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	801.972a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	848.115	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	56.829	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7884		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 310.96.

means
tables = a030 by PIM_Pref USE_Pref
/cells mean count
/statistics anova.

Means

Education * PIMP Preference

Report

Education

PIMP Preference	Mean	N
NoPref	4.88	3172
Acquired	5.45	2987
Local	4.92	1562
Total	5.11	7722

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
Education * PIMP Preference	122.655	.000

Education * USE Preference

Report

Education

USE Preference	Mean	N
Acqired Only	5.25	1965
Mostly Acquired	5.37	2584
Mostly Local	5.08	1742
Local Only	4.49	1525
Total	5.10	7815

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
Education * USE Preference	115.945	.000

*B. CROSSTABS ANALYSIS

CROSSTABS
/TABLES= a030 a096 BY PIM_Pref USE_Pref
/FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES
/STATISTIC=CHISQ
/CELLS= count ROW COLUMN TOTAL ASRESID.

Crosstabs

Education * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Education	Grade 8 or less	Count	57	24	31	112
		% within Education	50.9%	21.4%	27.7%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	1.8%	.8%	2.0%	1.5%
		% of Total	.7%	.3%	.4%	1.5%
		Adjusted Residual	2.1	-3.8	2.0	
	Grades 9-11 years	Count	104	47	46	197
		% within Education	52.8%	23.9%	23.4%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	3.3%	1.6%	2.9%	2.6%
		% of Total	1.3%	.6%	.6%	2.6%
		Adjusted Residual	3.4	-4.3	1.1	
-	Graduated High School	Count	448	215	213	876
		% within Education	51.1%	24.5%	24.3%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	14.1%	7.2%	13.6%	11.3%
		% of Total	5.8%	2.8%	2.8%	11.3%
		Adjusted Residual	6.4	-9.1	3.2	
	1-3 years of college	Count	778	541	373	1692
		% within Education	46.0%	32.0%	22.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	24.5%	18.1%	23.9%	21.9%
		% of Total	10.1%	7.0%	4.8%	21.9%
		Adjusted Residual	4.6	-6.4	2.1	
	College degree (4 years)	Count	681	672	334	1687
		% within Education	40.4%	39.8%	19.8%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	21.5%	22.5%	21.4%	21.8%
		% of Total	8.8%	8.7%	4.3%	21.8%
		Adjusted Residual	7	1.1	5	
	Some graduate credits	Count	365	427	198	990
		% within Education	36.9%	43.1%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	11.5%	14.3%	12.7%	12.8%
		% of Total	4.7%	5.5%	2.6%	12.8%
		Adjusted Residual	-2.9	3.1	2	
	Advanced degree (MA,	Count	739	1062	368	2169
	MD, PhD)	% within Education	34.1%	49.0%	17.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	23.3%	35.5%	23.5%	28.1%
		% of Total	9.6%	13.8%	4.8%	28.1%
		Adjusted Residual	-7.8	11.6	-4.5	
Total		Count	3172	2988	1563	7723
		% within Education	41.1%	38.7%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	38.7%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	246.353a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	251.948	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.890	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7723		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.67.

Education * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	ference		
				Mostly			
			Acqired Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Education	Grade 8 or less	Count	37	24	14	40	115
		% within Education	32.2%	20.9%	12.2%	34.8%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	1.9%	.9%	.8%	2.6%	1.5%
		% of Total	.5%	.3%	.2%	.5%	1.5%
		Adjusted Residual	1.7	-2.8	-2.6	4.2	
	Grades 9-11 years	Count	42	40	32	88	202
		% within Education	20.8%	19.8%	15.8%	43.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	2.1%	1.5%	1.8%	5.8%	2.6%
		% of Total	.5%	.5%	.4%	1.1%	2.6%
		Adjusted Residual	-1.4	-4.1	-2.2	8.7	
	Graduated High	Count	185	210	204	303	902
	School	% within Education	20.5%	23.3%	22.6%	33.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	9.4%	8.1%	11.7%	19.9%	11.5%
		% of Total	2.4%	2.7%	2.6%	3.9%	11.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-3.4	-6.6	.3	11.4	
	1-3 years of college	Count	378	506	429	397	1710
	r a years or conlege	% within Education	22.1%	29.6%	25.1%	23.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	19.2%	19.6%	24.6%	26.0%	21.9%
		% of Total	4.8%	6.5%	5.5%	5.1%	21.9%
		Adjusted Residual	-3.3	-3.5	3.1	4.4	21.570
	College degree (4	Count	439	583	382	293	1697
	years)	% within Education	25.9%	34.4%	22.5%	17.3%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	22.3%	22.6%	21.9%	19.2%	21.7%
		% of Total	5.6%	7.5%	4.9%	3.7%	21.7%
		Adjusted Residual	.8	1.3	.2	-2.6	
	Some graduate credits	Count	262	351	226	157	996
	8-m	% within Education	26.3%	35.2%	22.7%	15.8%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	13.3%	13.6%	13.0%	10.3%	12.7%
		% of Total	3.4%	4.5%	2.9%	2.0%	12.7%
		Adjusted Residual	.9	1.6	.3	-3.2	
	Advanced degree	Count	623	870	455	246	2194
	(MA, MD, PhD)	% within Education	28.4%	39.7%	20.7%	11.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	31.7%	33.7%	26.1%	16.1%	28.1%
		% of Total	8.0%	11.1%	5.8%	3.1%	28.1%
		Adjusted Residual	4.1	7.7	-2.1	-11.6	,,,,,
Total		Count	1966	2584	1742	1524	7816
		% within Education	25.2%	33.1%	22.3%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.2%	33.1%	22.3%	19.5%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	391.322a	18	.000
Likelihood Ratio	376.247	18	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	227.676	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7816		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 22.42.

Primary or Secondary Actualizer * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Primary or	Not	Count	1668	1000	804	3472
Secondary Actualizer	Actualizer	% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	48.0%	28.8%	23.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	51.2%	32.9%	50.5%	44.0%
		% of Total	21.2%	12.7%	10.2%	44.0%
		Adjusted Residual	10.8	-15.7	5.8	
	Primary or	Count	1589	2035	789	4413
	Secondary Actualizer	% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	36.0%	46.1%	17.9%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	48.8%	67.1%	49.5%	56.0%
		% of Total	20.2%	25.8%	10.0%	56.0%
		Adjusted Residual	-10.8	15.7	-5.8	
Total		Count	3257	3035	1593	7885
		% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	246.222a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	249.628	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	15.546	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7885		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 701.45.

Primary or Secondary Actualizer * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	ference		
			Acqired	Mostly	N 1 7 1		T . 1
			Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Primary or	Not Actualizer	Count	788	1002	807	947	3544
Secondary Actualizer		% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	22.2%	28.3%	22.8%	26.7%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	39.3%	38.0%	45.4%	60.4%	44.4%
		% of Total	9.9%	12.6%	10.1%	11.9%	44.4%
		Adjusted Residual	-5.3	-8.1	1.0	14.3	
	Primary or	Count	1216	1634	970	620	4440
	Secondary Actualizer	% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	27.4%	36.8%	21.8%	14.0%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	60.7%	62.0%	54.6%	39.6%	55.6%
		% of Total	15.2%	20.5%	12.1%	7.8%	55.6%
		Adjusted Residual	5.3	8.1	-1.0	-14.3	
Total		Count	2004	2636	1777	1567	7984
		% within Primary or Secondary Actualizer	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	228.449a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	228.079	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	174.649	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7984		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 695.57.

*A. MEANS ANALYSIS ********

means

tables = core a046 to a049 a054 a060 a066 a084 a090 by PIM_Pref USE_Pref

/cells mean count /statistics anova.

Means

Report

		Mean		
		PIMP Pre	ference	
	NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Core/Fringe	41.44	54.33	47.99	47.73
Number of Public Stations Used Across the Week	1.14	1.35	1.41	1.28
Total number of Stations Used Across the Week	4.05	4.24	4.37	4.19
Horizontal Hold to Public Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	3.55	4.19	3.83	3.85
Horizontal Hold to Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	6.01	6.08	6.08	6.05
Time Spent Listening to Public Radio (QHs/week)- Total	34.09	37.32	39.37	36.40
Time Spent Listening to the Radio (QHs/week)- Total	96.41	87.46	99.46	93.58
Loyalty to Public Radio (Total)	39.661	46.762	43.388	43.148

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
Core/Fringe	53.040	.000
Number of Public Stations Used Across the Week	181.399	.000
Total number of Stations Used Across the Week	11.532	.000
Horizontal Hold to Public Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	71.607	.000
Horizontal Hold to Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	3.082	.046
Time Spent Listening to Public Radio (QHs/week)- Total	8.280	.000
Time Spent Listening to the Radio (QHs/week)- Total	19.171	.000
Loyalty to Public Radio (Total)	35.923	.000

Report

	Mean				
		USE I	reference		
Acqired Mostly Only Acquired				Local Only	Total
Core/Fringe	31.20	64.49	63.93	22.23	47.72
Number of Public Stations Used Across the Week	1.09	1.41	1.46	1.08	1.28
Total number of Stations Used Across the Week	4.25	4.06	4.06	4.46	4.18
Horizontal Hold to Public Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	3.11	4.88	4.56	2.25	3.85
Horizontal Hold to Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	5.85	6.26	6.18	5.83	6.06
Time Spent Listening to Public Radio (QHs/week)- Total	16.20	50.79	56.08	16.24	36.50
Time Spent Listening to the Radio (QHs/week)- Total	75.68	99.70	109.60	89.30	93.84
Loyalty to Public Radio (Total)	31.663	55.317	54.710	24.108	43.120

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
Core/Fringe	430.386	.000
Number of Public Stations Used Across the Week	275.027	.000
Total number of Stations Used Across the Week	12.131	.000
Horizontal Hold to Public Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	843.948	.000
Horizontal Hold to Radio (# of Days Listened Out of 7)	65.511	.000
Time Spent Listening to Public Radio (QHs/week)- Total	517.447	.000
Time Spent Listening to the Radio (QHs/week)- Total	82.100	.000
Loyalty to Public Radio (Total)	522.669	.000

*B. CROSSTABS ANALYSIS

CROSSTABS

/TABLES= core a048 a052 a053 BY PIM_Pref USE_Pref /FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES /STATISTIC=CHISQ /CELLS= count ROW COLUMN TOTAL ASRESID .

Crosstabs

Core/Fringe * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Core/Fringe	Fringe	Count	1907	1386	829	4122
		% within Core/Fringe	46.3%	33.6%	20.1%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	58.6%	45.7%	52.0%	52.3%
		% of Total	24.2%	17.6%	10.5%	52.3%
		Adjusted Residual	9.4	-9.3	2	
	Core	Count	1350	1649	765	3764
		% within Core/Fringe	35.9%	43.8%	20.3%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	41.4%	54.3%	48.0%	47.7%
		% of Total	17.1%	20.9%	9.7%	47.7%
		Adjusted Residual	-9.4	9.3	.2	
Total		Count	3257	3035	1594	7886
		% within Core/Fringe	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	104.580a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	104.858	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	38.808	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7886		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 760.82.

Core/Fringe * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	ference		
			Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Core/Fringe	Fringe	Count	1379	936	641	1218	4174
		% within Core/Fringe	33.0%	22.4%	15.4%	29.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	68.8%	35.5%	36.1%	77.8%	52.3%
		% of Total	17.3%	11.7%	8.0%	15.3%	52.3%
		Adjusted Residual	17.1	-21.1	-15.5	22.5	
	Core	Count	625	1700	1136	348	3809
		% within Core/Fringe	16.4%	44.6%	29.8%	9.1%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	31.2%	64.5%	63.9%	22.2%	47.7%
		% of Total	7.8%	21.3%	14.2%	4.4%	47.7%
		Adjusted Residual	-17.1	21.1	15.5	-22.5	
Total		Count	2004	2636	1777	1566	7983
		% within Core/Fringe	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1111.979a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	1150.367	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	14.302	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7983		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 747.20.

Horizontal Hold to Public Radio (# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven) * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Horizontal	1	Count	838	472	347	1657
Hold to Public Radio(# of		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	50.6%	28.5%	20.9%	100.0%
Different		% within PIMP Preference	25.7%	15.5%	21.8%	21.0%
Days Listened Out		% of Total	10.6%	6.0%	4.4%	21.0%
of Seven)		Adjusted Residual	8.6	-9.4	.9	
or seven,	2	Count	501	375	206	1082
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	46.3%	34.7%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	15.4%	12.4%	12.9%	13.7%
		% of Total	6.4%	4.8%	2.6%	13.7%
		Adjusted Residual	3.6	-2.8	-1.0	
	3	Count	377	315	156	848
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	44.5%	37.1%	18.4%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	11.6%	10.4%	9.8%	10.8%
		% of Total	4.8%	4.0%	2.0%	10.8%
		Adjusted Residual	2.0	9	-1.4	
	4	Count	337	364	204	905
	4	% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	37.2%	40.2%	22.5%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	10.3%	12.0%	12.8%	11.5%
		% of Total	4.3%	4.6%	2.6%	11.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-2.6	1.1	1.9	
	5	Count	410	516	253	1179
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	34.8%	43.8%	21.5%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	12.6%	17.0%	15.9%	15.0%
		% of Total	5.2%	6.5%	3.2%	15.0%
		Adjusted Residual	-4.9	4.0	1.2	
	6	Count	364	437	187	988
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	36.8%	44.2%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	11.2%	14.4%	11.7%	12.5%
		% of Total	4.6%	5.5%	2.4%	12.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-3.0	4.0	-1.1	
	7	Count	430	557	239	1226
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	35.1%	45.4%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	13.2%	18.3%	15.0%	15.5%
		% of Total	5.5%	7.1%	3.0%	15.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-4.8	5.4	7	

Crosstab

		PI	PIMP Preference		
		NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Total	Count	3257	3036	1592	7885
	% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
	% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	162.776 ^a	12	.000
Likelihood Ratio	164.623	12	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	44.506	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7885		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 171.21.

$\ \, \textbf{Horizontal Hold to Public Radio} \, (\textit{\# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven}) \, * \, \textbf{USE Preference} \, \\$

Crosstab

			Acqired	Mostly			
			Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Horizontal	1	Count	670	159	103	754	1686
Hold to Public Radio(# of		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	39.7%	9.4%	6.1%	44.7%	100.0%
Different Days		% within USE Preference	33.4%	6.0%	5.8%	48.1%	21.1%
Listened Out		% of Total	8.4%	2.0%	1.3%	9.4%	21.1%
of Seven)		Adjusted Residual	15.6	-23.2	-18.0	29.2	
	2	Count	295	253	209	337	1094
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	27.0%	23.1%	19.1%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	14.7%	9.6%	11.8%	21.5%	13.7%
		% of Total	3.7%	3.2%	2.6%	4.2%	13.7%
		Adjusted Residual	1.5	-7.5	-2.7	10.0	
	3	Count	211	251	232	169	863
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	24.4%	29.1%	26.9%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	10.5%	9.5%	13.0%	10.8%	10.8%
		% of Total	2.6%	3.1%	2.9%	2.1%	10.8%
		Adjusted Residual	5	-2.6	3.5	.0	
	4	Count	204	339	280	92	915
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	22.3%	37.0%	30.6%	10.1%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	10.2%	12.9%	15.7%	5.9%	11.5%
		% of Total	2.6%	4.2%	3.5%	1.2%	11.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-2.1	2.8	6.4	-7.8	
	5	Count	347	427	300	116	1190
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	29.2%	35.9%	25.2%	9.7%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	17.3%	16.2%	16.9%	7.4%	14.9%
		% of Total	4.3%	5.3%	3.8%	1.5%	14.9%
		Adjusted Residual	3.5	2.3	2.6	-9.3	
	6	Count	145	494	298	59	996
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	14.6%	49.6%	29.9%	5.9%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	7.2%	18.7%	16.8%	3.8%	12.5%
		% of Total	1.8%	6.2%	3.7%	.7%	12.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-8.2	11.9	6.2	-11.6	
	7	Count	132	712	356	41	1241
		% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	10.6%	57.4%	28.7%	3.3%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	6.6%	27.0%	20.0%	2.6%	15.5%
		% of Total	1.7%	8.9%	4.5%	.5%	15.5%
		Adjusted Residual	-12.8	19.9	5.9	-15.8	

Crosstab

			USE Preference			
		Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Total	Count	2004	2635	1778	1568	7985
	% within Horizontal Hold to Public Radio(# of Different Days Listened Out of Seven)	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
	% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	2207.045 ^a	18	.000
Likelihood Ratio	2353.446	18	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	125.539	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7985		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 169.47.

Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Weekpart of	Weekdays Only	Count	1279	1124	499	2902
Listening to Public Radio		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	44.1%	38.7%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	39.3%	37.0%	31.3%	36.8%
		% of Total	16.2%	14.3%	6.3%	36.8%
		Adjusted Residual	3.8	.3	-5.1	
	Weekends Only	Count	405	255	208	868
		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	46.7%	29.4%	24.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	12.4%	8.4%	13.1%	11.0%
		% of Total	5.1%	3.2%	2.6%	11.0%
		Adjusted Residual	3.4	-5.9	2.9	
	Both Weekends and	Count	1573	1657	886	4116
	Weekdays	% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	38.2%	40.3%	21.5%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	48.3%	54.6%	55.6%	52.2%
		% of Total	19.9%	21.0%	11.2%	52.2%
		Adjusted Residual	-5.8	3.4	3.1	
Total		Count	3257	3036	1593	7886
		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	65.656 ^a	4	.000
Likelihood Ratio	67.450	4	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	31.280	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7886		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 175.34.

Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pr	reference		
			Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Weekpart of	Weekdays Only	Count	1072	654	437	777	2940
Listening to Public Radio		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	36.5%	22.2%	14.9%	26.4%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	53.5%	24.8%	24.6%	49.6%	36.8%
		% of Total	13.4%	8.2%	5.5%	9.7%	36.8%
		Adjusted Residual	17.9	-15.6	-12.1	11.7	
	Weekends Only	Count	345	95	70	374	884
		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	39.0%	10.7%	7.9%	42.3%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	17.2%	3.6%	3.9%	23.9%	11.1%
		% of Total	4.3%	1.2%	.9%	4.7%	11.1%
		Adjusted Residual	10.1	-14.9	-10.9	18.0	
	Both Weekends	Count	587	1887	1270	416	4160
	and Weekdays	% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	14.1%	45.4%	30.5%	10.0%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	29.3%	71.6%	71.5%	26.5%	52.1%
		% of Total	7.4%	23.6%	15.9%	5.2%	52.1%
		Adjusted Residual	-23.6	24.5	18.5	-22.6	
Total		Count	2004	2636	1777	1567	7984
		% within Weekpart of Listening to Public Radio	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1626.969a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	1679.067	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	2.959	1	.085
N of Valid Cases	7984		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 173.50.

Weekpart of Listening to the Radio * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			Pl	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Weekpart of	Weekdays Only	Count	352	317	139	808
Listening to the Radio		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	43.6%	39.2%	17.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	10.8%	10.4%	8.7%	10.2%
		% of Total	4.5%	4.0%	1.8%	10.2%
		Adjusted Residual	1.4	.5	-2.2	
	Weekends Only	Count	18	9	12	39
		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	46.2%	23.1%	30.8%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	.6%	.3%	.8%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.1%	.2%	.5%
		Adjusted Residual	.6	-2.0	1.6	
	Both Weekends	Count	2887	2710	1443	7040
	and Weekdays	% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	41.0%	38.5%	20.5%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	88.6%	89.3%	90.5%	89.3%
		% of Total	36.6%	34.4%	18.3%	89.3%
		Adjusted Residual	-1.5	.0	1.8	
Total		Count	3257	3036	1594	7887
		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	9.947 ^a	4	.041
Likelihood Ratio	10.221	4	.037
Linear-by-Linear Association	4.133	1	.042
N of Valid Cases	7887		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.88.

Weekpart of Listening to the Radio * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pı	reference		
			Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Weekpart	Weekdays Only	Count	295	196	132	191	814
of Listening to the		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	36.2%	24.1%	16.2%	23.5%	100.0%
Radio		% within USE Preference	14.7%	7.4%	7.4%	12.2%	10.2%
		% of Total	3.7%	2.5%	1.7%	2.4%	10.2%
		Adjusted Residual	7.7	-5.7	-4.4	2.9	
	Weekends Only	Count	18	4	3	13	38
		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	47.4%	10.5%	7.9%	34.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	.9%	.2%	.2%	.8%	.5%
		% of Total	.2%	.1%	.0%	.2%	.5%
		Adjusted Residual	3.2	-3.0	-2.1	2.3	
	Both Weekends	Count	1691	2435	1643	1363	7132
	and Weekdays	% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	23.7%	34.1%	23.0%	19.1%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	84.4%	92.4%	92.4%	87.0%	89.3%
		% of Total	21.2%	30.5%	20.6%	17.1%	89.3%
		Adjusted Residual	-8.3	6.3	4.8	-3.4	
Total		Count	2004	2635	1778	1567	7984
		% within Weekpart of Listening to the Radio	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	111.510 ^a	6	.000
Likelihood Ratio	110.747	6	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	7.504	1	.006
N of Valid Cases	7984		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 7.46.

*A. MEANS ANALYSIS

MEANS
TABLES = pimp_loc pimp_acq
a147 to a148
by PIM_Pref USE_Pref
/CELLS MEAN COUNT
/STATISTICS ANOVA.

Means

Report

	Mean			
	PIMP Preference			
	NoPref Acquired Local Total			
PIMP_LOC	4.4023	3.4600	4.9349	4.1472
PIMP_ACQ	4.4023	5.1785	3.2675	4.4718
The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	4.69	5.21	4.62	4.88
The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	4.94	4.97	5.25	5.02

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
PIMP_LOC	800.025	.000
PIMP_ACQ	1192.018	.000
The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	206.356	.000
The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical	47.941	.000

Report

]	Mean			
		USE	Preference			
	Acqired Only Mostly Acquired Mostly Local Local Only Total					
PIMP_LOC	3.8038	4.1953	4.3542	4.0106	4.0962	
PIMP_ACQ	4.6135	4.7874	4.3248	3.6463	4.4168	
The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	4.98	5.22	4.77	4.28	4.88	
The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	4.67	5.11	5.34	4.93	5.01	

ANOVA Table

	F	Sig.
PIMP_LOC	51.324	.000
PIMP_ACQ	215.388	.000
The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	233.941	.000
The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	130.145	.000

CROSSTABS

/TABLES= SOC reconcur

a147a to a148a

by PIM_Pref USE_Pref

/FORMAT= AVALUE TABLES

/STATISTIC=CHISQ

/CELLS= count ROW COLUMN TOTAL ASRESID.

Crosstabs

SOC * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
SOC	No SOC	Count	1585	1062	745	3392
		% within SOC	46.7%	31.3%	22.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	48.7%	35.0%	46.8%	43.0%
		% of Total	20.1%	13.5%	9.4%	43.0%
		Adjusted Residual	8.5	-11.4	3.4	
	Yes SOC	Count	1672	1973	848	4493
		% within SOC	37.2%	43.9%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	51.3%	65.0%	53.2%	57.0%
		% of Total	21.2%	25.0%	10.8%	57.0%
		Adjusted Residual	-8.5	11.4	-3.4	
Total		Count	3257	3035	1593	7885
		% within SOC	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	131.258a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	132.462	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	13.980	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7885		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 685.28.

SOC * **USE Preference**

Crosstab

				USE Pre	eference		
			Acqired Only	Mostly Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
SOC	No SOC	Count	997	819	638	972	3426
		% within SOC	29.1%	23.9%	18.6%	28.4%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	49.8%	31.1%	35.9%	62.0%	42.9%
		% of Total	12.5%	10.3%	8.0%	12.2%	42.9%
		Adjusted Residual	7.1	-15.0	-6.8	17.1	
	Yes SOC	Count	1007	1816	1140	595	4558
		% within SOC	22.1%	39.8%	25.0%	13.1%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	50.2%	68.9%	64.1%	38.0%	57.1%
		% of Total	12.6%	22.7%	14.3%	7.5%	57.1%
		Adjusted Residual	-7.1	15.0	6.8	-17.1	
Total		Count	2004	2635	1778	1567	7984
		% within SOC	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	458.436a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	461.079	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	51.604	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7984		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 672.41.

Household Current Giver * PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
Household	Not Current	Count	2330	1858	1103	5291
Current Giver		% within Household Current Giver	44.0%	35.1%	20.8%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	71.5%	61.2%	69.2%	67.1%
		% of Total	29.5%	23.6%	14.0%	67.1%
		Adjusted Residual	7.0	-8.8	2.0	
	Current	Count	927	1178	490	2595
		% within Household Current Giver	35.7%	45.4%	18.9%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	28.5%	38.8%	30.8%	32.9%
		% of Total	11.8%	14.9%	6.2%	32.9%
		Adjusted Residual	-7.0	8.8	-2.0	
Total		Count	3257	3036	1593	7886
		% within Household Current Giver	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.3%	38.5%	20.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	80.249a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	79.750	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	12.305	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7886		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 524.20.

Household Current Giver * USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	ference		
				Mostly			
			Acqired Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
Household	Not Current	Count	1477	1457	1120	1315	5369
Current Giver		% within Household Current Giver	27.5%	27.1%	20.9%	24.5%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	73.7%	55.3%	63.0%	83.9%	67.2%
		% of Total	18.5%	18.2%	14.0%	16.5%	67.2%
		Adjusted Residual	7.1	-16.0	-4.3	15.7	
	Current	Count	527	1179	658	252	2616
		% within Household Current Giver	20.1%	45.1%	25.2%	9.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	26.3%	44.7%	37.0%	16.1%	32.8%
		% of Total	6.6%	14.8%	8.2%	3.2%	32.8%
		Adjusted Residual	-7.1	16.0	4.3	-15.7	
Total		Count	2004	2636	1778	1567	7985
		% within Household Current Giver	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.0%	22.3%	19.6%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	421.801a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	441.000	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	50.956	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7985		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 513.37.

The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations \ast PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
The news programming on	Disagree	Count	423	179	235	837
public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	50.5%	21.4%	28.1%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	13.3%	5.9%	15.2%	10.8%
		% of Total	5.5%	2.3%	3.0%	10.8%
		Adjusted Residual	5.9	-11.0	6.2	
	Agree	Count	2758	2835	1314	6907
		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	39.9%	41.0%	19.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	86.7%	94.1%	84.8%	89.2%
		% of Total	35.6%	36.6%	17.0%	89.2%
		Adjusted Residual	-5.9	11.0	-6.2	
Total		Count	3181	3014	1549	7744
		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	41.1%	38.9%	20.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	38.9%	20.0%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	125.164 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	133.810	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.319	1	.572
N of Valid Cases	7744		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 167.42.

The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations \ast USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pre	ference		
				Mostly			
			Acqired Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
The news	Disagree	Count	171	157	223	302	853
programming on public radio is unique, not available on		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	20.0%	18.4%	26.1%	35.4%	100.0%
commercial stations		% within USE Preference	8.6%	6.0%	12.9%	20.1%	10.9%
		% of Total	2.2%	2.0%	2.9%	3.9%	10.9%
		Adjusted Residual	-3.8	-9.7	3.0	12.7	
	Agree	Count	1811	2442	1510	1203	6966
		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on	26.0%	35.1%	21.7%	17.3%	100.0%
		commercial stations % within USE Preference	91.4%	94.0%	87.1%	79.9%	89.1%
		% of Total	23.2%	31.2%	19.3%	15.4%	89.1%
		Adjusted Residual	3.8	9.7	-3.0	-12.7	
Total		Count	1982	2599	1733	1505	7819
		% within The news programming on public radio is unique, not available on commercial stations	25.3%	33.2%	22.2%	19.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.3%	33.2%	22.2%	19.2%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	210.684 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	199.258	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	147.829	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7819		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 164.19.

The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations \ast PIMP Preference

Crosstab

			PI	MP Preferen	ce	
			NoPref	Acquired	Local	Total
The music programming on	Disagree	Count	312	285	90	687
public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	45.4%	41.5%	13.1%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	9.7%	9.5%	5.7%	8.8%
		% of Total	4.0%	3.7%	1.2%	8.8%
		Adjusted Residual	2.4	1.6	-4.9	
	Agree	Count	2891	2721	1490	7102
		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	40.7%	38.3%	21.0%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	90.3%	90.5%	94.3%	91.2%
		% of Total	37.1%	34.9%	19.1%	91.2%
		Adjusted Residual	-2.4	-1.6	4.9	
Total		Count	3203	3006	1580	7789
		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	41.1%	38.6%	20.3%	100.0%
		% within PIMP Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	41.1%	38.6%	20.3%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	24.182 ^a	2	.000
Likelihood Ratio	26.558	2	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	17.390	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7789		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 139.36.

The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations \ast USE Preference

Crosstab

				USE Pr	eference		
			Acqired	Mostly			
			Only	Acquired	Mostly Local	Local Only	Total
The music programming	Disagree	Count	253	203	81	159	696
on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	36.4%	29.2%	11.6%	22.8%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	12.8%	7.8%	4.6%	10.4%	8.8%
		% of Total	3.2%	2.6%	1.0%	2.0%	8.8%
		Adjusted Residual	7.2	-2.3	-7.1	2.3	
	Agree	Count	1718	2399	1677	1377	7171
		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	24.0%	33.5%	23.4%	19.2%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	87.2%	92.2%	95.4%	89.6%	91.2%
		% of Total	21.8%	30.5%	21.3%	17.5%	91.2%
		Adjusted Residual	-7.2	2.3	7.1	-2.3	
Total		Count	1971	2602	1758	1536	7867
		% within The music programming on public radio is unique, not available on commerical stations	25.1%	33.1%	22.3%	19.5%	100.0%
		% within USE Preference	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% of Total	25.1%	33.1%	22.3%	19.5%	100.0%

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	85.911 ^a	3	.000
Likelihood Ratio	89.121	3	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	16.050	1	.000
N of Valid Cases	7867		

a. 0 cells (.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 135.89.